From Gradient Flow Force-Balance to **Distributionally Robust Learning**

Jia-Jie Zhu Weierstrass Institute for Applied Analysis and Stochastics

Berlin, Germany

European Conference on Computational Optimization (EUCCO) Heidelberg University, Germany. September 26th, 2023



Weierstraß-Institut für Angewandte Analysis und Stochastik

Distributional <u>robustness</u>, but what kind?



DON'T THINK IT MEANS quickmeme.co

Figure credit: The Princess Bride, a bedside story by your grandpa



Motivation: From statistical learning to robust learningEmpirical Risk MinimizationDistributionally Robust Optimization (DRO) $\min_{\theta} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} l(\theta, \xi_i), \quad \xi_i \sim P_0$ $\min_{\theta} \sup_{Q \in \mathcal{M}} \mathbb{E}_Q l(\theta, \xi)$

• "Robust" under statistical fluctuation

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}_0} l(\hat{\theta}, \xi) \leq \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N l(\hat{\theta}, \xi_i) + \mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}})$$

• Not robust under <u>data distribution shifts</u>, when Q ($\neq P_0$)



Figure credit: H. Kremer, J. Zhu



Worst-case distribution Q within the <u>ambiguity set</u> \mathcal{M} [Delage & Ye 2010] in certain geometry.



Why study new geometry?

New geometries leading to new fields of research and breakthroughs:

Information geometry [S. Amari et al.] e.g. descent in Fisher-Rao geometry

Wasserstein Gradient flow [F. Otto et al.] e.g. Fokker-Planck equation as Wasserstein flow



Background: Kantorovich-Wasserstein Geometry

Definition. The *p*-Wasserstein distance between probability measures P, Q on \mathbb{R}^d (with p finite moments, $p \ge 1$) is defined through the following Kantorovich problem

$$W_p^p(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{Q}) := \inf\left\{ \int |x - y|^p d\Pi(x, y) \, \middle| \, \pi_{\#}^{(1)}\Pi = \mathbf{P}, \, \pi_{\#}^{(2)}\Pi = \mathbf{Q} \right\}$$

(Dual Kantorovich problem) $= \sup \left\{ \left[\psi_1(x) d\mathbf{P}(x) + \left[\psi_2(y) d\mathbf{Q}(y) \right] \psi_1(x) \right] \right\}$

2-Wasserstein space (Prob(\mathbb{R}^d), W_2) is a geodesic metric space. **Dynamic formulation:** à la Benamou-Brenier c c¹ c

$$W_2^2(\mathbf{P}, \mathbf{Q}) = \min\left\{ \int_0^{\infty} |v_t|^2 d\mu_t dt \, \middle| \, \mu_0 = \mathbf{P}, \, \mu_1 = \mathbf{Q}, \, \partial_t \mu_t + \operatorname{div}(v_t \mu_t) = 0 \right\}$$

$$+\psi_2(y) \le |x-y|^p \bigg\}$$





Background: MMD and interaction force

Definition. Kernel **Maximum-Mean Discrepancy** (MMD) associated with (PSD) kernel k (e.g., $k(x, x') := e^{-|x-x'|^2/\sigma}$) $\mathrm{MMD}(P, Q) := \left\| \left[k(x, \cdot) dP - \left[k(x, \cdot) dQ \right]_{\mathcal{H}} \right] \right\|_{\mathcal{H}}.$

 $(\operatorname{Prob}(\mathbb{R}^d), \operatorname{MMD})$ is a (simple) metric space.

Dual formulation as an integral probability metric.

$$MMD(P, Q) = \sup_{\|f\|_{\mathscr{H}} \le 1} \int f d(P - Q)$$

 \mathcal{H} is the **reproducing kernel Hilbert space** \mathcal{H} (RKHS), which satisfies $f(x) = \langle f, \phi(x) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}, \forall f \in \mathcal{H}, x \in \mathcal{X}$, $\phi(x) := k(x, \cdot)$ is the canonical feature of \mathcal{H} .

As an interaction energy for Wasserstein GF [Arbel et al.] $\mathrm{MMD}^2(P,Q) = \left[\int k(x,y) \, \mathrm{d}(P-Q)(x) \, \mathrm{d}(P-Q)(y) \right]$







Figure credit: W. Jitkrittum, J. Zhu, H. Wendland

Gradient Flow Force-Balance

Gradient flow facts

Otto's Gradient flow equation in the Wasserstein space

$$\partial_t \mu - \nabla \cdot (\mu \nabla \frac{\delta F}{\delta \mu}[\mu]) = 0$$

e.g., diffusion, Fokker Planck equation. It describes the "steepest" dissipation of energy F in $(Prob(\overline{X}), W_2)$. [Otto et al 2000s, Ambrosio 2005, ...]

In a different flavor, we can write it just like ODE gradient flow $\dot{x} = -\nabla f(x)$ in the **primal rate-form**

 $\dot{\mu} = - \mathbb{K}_{OttO}(\mu) DF$ (DF is the (sub)diff., e.g., in the sense of Fréchet)

Time-discretization yields the *minimizing movement scheme* (MMS)

"JKO Scheme"
$$u_k \in \arg \inf_{u \in \mathscr{P}} F(u) + \frac{1}{2\tau} W_2^2(u, u_{k-1})$$

SIAM J. MATH. ANAL. Vol. 29, No. 1, pp. 1–17, January 1998 © 1998 Society for Industrial and Applied Mathemati

THE VARIATIONAL FORMULATION OF THE FOKKER-PLANCK **EQUATION***

RICHARD JORDAN[†], DAVID KINDERLEHRER[‡], AND FELIX OTTO[§]



ODE flow: gradient descent

$$x^{k} \in \arg\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}} F(x) + \frac{1}{2\tau} ||x - x^{k-1}||^{2}.$$





Gradient flow force-balance

Force-balance in Wasserstein MMS $u_k \in arg$



In practice, approximate ϕ (and hence -DF) based on data samples using function approximators (force matching, score matching), NN/RKHS, e.g.,

$$\phi \approx f = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i k(x_i,$$

We will now see two applications of this force-balance relation to robust learning

$$\inf_{u \in \mathscr{P}} F(u) + \frac{1}{2\tau} W_2^2 \left(u, u_{k-1} \right)$$

Dissipation Geometry: Force-balance in ODE: $\nabla f(x_t) + \frac{x_t^{+} - x_{t-1}^{+}}{=} 0 \in X^*$

 $(\cdot, \cdot) \in \mathcal{H}.$



Robust Learning under (Joint) Distribution Shift

Kernel DRO under distribution shift

Primal DRO (not solvable as it is)

Kernel DRO Theorem (simplified). [Z. et al. 2021] DRO problem is equivalent to the dual kernel machine learning problem, i.e., (DRO)=(K).

(K) $\min_{\theta, f \in \mathcal{H}} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} f(\xi_i) + \epsilon \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}}$ subject to $l(\theta, \cdot) \leq f$

Example. Robust least squares

min $l(\theta, \xi) := ||A(\xi) \cdot \theta - b||_2^2$



Entropy regularization ("interior point method") $\mathrm{MMD}(Q, \hat{P}) + \lambda D_{\phi}(Q \| \omega) \le \epsilon$

Dual. Adapted from [Kremer et al., **Z.** 2023]

$$\inf_{\theta,f\in\mathcal{H}} \left\{ \mathbb{E}_{\hat{P}}f + \epsilon \|f\|_{\mathcal{H}} + \lambda \mathbb{E}_{\omega} \phi^{*} \left(\frac{-f+l}{\lambda} \right) \right\}$$

soft cons. $\phi_{\text{KI}}^{*}(t) = \exp(t)$ log-barrier $\phi_{\log}^*(t) = -\log(1-t)$

Geometric intuition: dual kernel function f as robust surrogate losses (flatten the curve)





Force-balance of Kernel DRO $\min_{\theta} \sup_{\text{MMD}(\underline{Q}, \hat{P}) \leq \epsilon} \mathbb{E}_{\underline{Q}} l(\theta, \xi)$ $\min_{\theta,\gamma \ge 0} \sup_{\mu \in \mathscr{P}} \mathbb{E}_{\mu} l(\theta, x) - \gamma \cdot \text{MMD}^{2}(\mu, \hat{\mu}_{N}) + \gamma \epsilon^{2}$ Lagrangian: $=: f \in \mathcal{H}$ **Dual kernel function f** as robust $= \frac{1}{\tau} \left| k(x, \cdot) d(\mu - \mu^k)(x) + \text{const surrogate losses} \right|$ flatten the curve \rightarrow force balance

Primal DRO:

MMS in kernel-MMD

$$\inf_{\mu \in \mathscr{P}} F(\mu) + \frac{1}{2\tau} \mathrm{MMD}^2(\mu, \mu^k) \implies -\mathrm{D}^{L^2} F =$$

Force-balance using **function approximation** RKHS functions, e.g.,

$$-DF = f + f_0, f = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i k(x_i,$$

 $D^{L^2}F = l(\theta, \cdot) \Longrightarrow$ force-balance relation: $l(\theta, \cdot) = f + f_0$ a.e. (force matching, score matching)

- $\cdot \in \mathcal{H}, f_0 \in \mathbb{R}$





Robust Learning under Structured Distribution Shift

From statistical fluctuation to structured distribution shift (Mild) (Strong)



Figure credit: Heiner Kremer

Model mis-specification $f_{\theta} \neq f \,\,\forall \theta \in \Theta$



 \rightarrow Use flexible models (NN/non-parametric)

[Heinze-Deml & Meinshausen 2021]

Wasserstein/Kernel DRO not suitable for (strong) structured distribution shifts !

 $\mathbb{P}_{\mathsf{test}} \neq \mathbb{P}_{\mathsf{train}}$

Х

 \rightarrow Robustness,

Causality

True function

Prediction

Structured Distribution Shift — Causal Confounding

Causal confounding can lead to much stronger distribution shifts than those considered in (joint) distribution shift, e.g., DRO, adversarial robustness.

X: Smoking, Y: Cancer, U: Lifestyle

 $Y := g_{\theta}(X) + \epsilon_U, \quad \mathbb{E}[\epsilon_U] = 0, \text{ but } \mathbb{E}[\epsilon_U|X] \neq 0$ $\implies g_{\theta}(x) \neq \mathbb{E}[Y|X=x]$

Regression min $\mathbb{E}[||Y - g_{\theta}(X)||^2]$ or DRO does not work in this case.

Kernel Method of Moment: conditional moment restriction for causal inference

Robustness against structured distribution shifts instead of (joint-)DRO. Estimating g_{θ} via conditional moment restriction (CMR)

$$\mathbb{E}[Y - g_{\theta}(X) | Z] = 0 \mathbb{P}_{Z}\text{-a.s}$$

Generalized Empirical likelihood [Owen, 1988; Qin and Lawless, 1994] with **CMR** [Bierens, 1982]. Equivalently, generalized method of moment (GMM)

$$\inf_{\theta, \mathbf{Q} \in \mathscr{P}} D_{\phi}(\mathbf{Q} \| \hat{\mathbf{P}}) \text{ s.t. } \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{Q}} \left[\left(Y - g_{\theta}(X) \right)^{T} h(Z) \right] = 0, \forall h \in \mathscr{H}$$

Kernel MoM [Kremer et al., Z. 2023] with CMR

$$\inf_{\theta, \mathbf{Q} \in \mathscr{P}} \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{MMD}^{2}(\mathbf{Q}, \hat{\mathbf{P}}) \text{ s.t. } \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{Q}} \left[\left(Y - g_{\theta}(X) \right)^{T} h(Z) \right] = 0$$

S.

Instrument: Genetic predisposition for nicotine addiction Z

 g_{θ}

Lift the restriction that Q is an atomic distribution

Kernel MoM: duality and algorithm

$$\theta^{\text{KMM}} = \arg\min_{\theta} R(\theta)$$

$$R(\theta) := \inf_{\boldsymbol{Q} \in \mathscr{P}} \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{MMD}^{2}(\boldsymbol{Q}, \hat{\boldsymbol{P}}) \text{ s.t. } \mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{Q}} \left[\left(\psi(X; \theta) \right)^{T} h(Z) \right] = 0$$

Theorem. [Kremer et al., Z. 2023] The MMD profile $R(\theta)$ has the strongly dual form

$$R(\theta) = \sup_{\substack{f_0 \in \mathbb{R}, f \in \mathcal{F}, \\ h \in \mathcal{H}}} f_0 + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f(x_i, z_i) - \frac{1}{2} \|f\|_{\mathscr{F}}^2$$

s.t. $f_0 + f(x, z) \le \psi(x; \theta)^T h(z) \quad \forall (x, z) \in \mathcal{S}$

Entropy regularization Infinite constraint \rightarrow soft-constraint

$$\inf_{\theta, \boldsymbol{Q} \in \mathcal{P}} \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{MMD}^{2}(\boldsymbol{Q}, \hat{\boldsymbol{P}}) + \lambda D_{\phi}(\boldsymbol{Q} \| \boldsymbol{\omega}) \text{ s.t. } \mathbb{E}_{\boldsymbol{Q}} \left[\boldsymbol{\psi}(\boldsymbol{X}; \theta)^{T} h(\boldsymbol{Z}) \right] = 0$$

results in an unconstrained dual

$$\mathbb{E}_{\hat{P}_n}[f_0 + f(X, Z)] - \frac{1}{2} \|f\|_{\mathscr{F}}^2 - \mathbb{E}_{\omega} \Big[\varphi_{\varepsilon}^* \big(f_0 + f(X, Z) \big] \Big]$$

Х

 $\mathscr{X} \times \mathscr{Z}$.

 $(X, Z) - \psi(X; \theta)^T h(Z) \Big]$

Kernel MoM: Nonlinear Instrumental Variable Regression

 $Y := g(X; \theta_0) + \nu(U) + \epsilon_1$ $X := \eta(Z) + \mu(U) + \epsilon_2 \quad ,$ $Z \sim P_Z, \quad \epsilon_{1/2} \sim \mathcal{N}(0,\sigma)$ $g(x; \theta)$ is nonlinear in both x, θ .

Estimate θ using Kernel MoM with CMR

Takeaway. (Strong) structured distribution shifts (e.g., causal confounding) can be accounted for using the Kernel MoM + CMR, but not (joint) DRO, adversarial robustness, ...

 10^{4}

Force-balance of Kernel MoM

Lagrangian:

$$\sup_{\gamma \in \mathbb{R}, h \in \mathcal{H}} \inf_{Q} \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{MMD}^{2}(Q, \hat{P}) + \gamma \cdot \mathbb{E}_{Q} \left[\left(Y - g_{\theta}(X) \right)^{T} h(Z) \right]$$

Minimizing movement scheme (MMS) in

Force balance using **function approximation**, e.g., kernel functions

$$-\mathbf{D}F = f + f_0, \quad f = \frac{1}{\tau} \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i k([x_i, y_i, z_i], \cdot) \in \mathcal{H}, f_0 \in \mathbb{F}$$

Since $DF = (Y - g_{\theta}(X))^T h(Z)$, the optimal force function approximates the moment function

f+f

$$\text{MMD inf } F(\mu) + \frac{1}{2\gamma} \text{MMD}^2(\mu, \mu^k)$$

$$f_0 = \left(Y - g_{\theta}(X)\right)^T h(Z)$$
 a.e.

Summary

- We exploited explicitly parametrized **dual force functions** for robust learning under joint and structured distribution shifts. This is inspired by generalized force in gradient flows, optimal transport, and mechanics.
- The gradient flow force-balance eqns give insights for constructing robust learning algorithms.
 - **Kernel DRO**: force gives the robustified surrogate loss

Kernel MoM: force gives the robustified moment function

$$\left(Y - g_{\theta}(X)\right)^{T} h(Z)$$

This talk is mainly based on:

I. Z., Jitkrittum, W., Diehl, M. & Schölkopf, B. Kernel Distributionally Robust Optimization. AISTATS 2021 2. Kremer, H., Nemmour, Y., Schölkopf, B. & Z. Estimation Beyond Data Reweighting: Kernel Method of Moments. ICML 2023

slides & code available: <u>jj-zhu.github.io</u>

Postdoc position opening in Berlin: datadriven dynamics modeling for medical imaging. Contact for info.

